arXiv:1404.1883v2 [math.NT] 21 Aug 2014

RANK AND CRANK MOMENTS FOR PARTITIONS WITHOUT REPEATED ODD PARTS

CHRIS JENNINGS-SHAFFER

Abstract. Using quasimodular forms with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$ we find exact relations between the M2-rank for partitions without repeated odd parts and three residual cranks. From these identities we are able to deduce various congruences mod 3 and 5 between the rank and crank moments. In turn, these congruences give congruences for $\mathrm{M2spt}(n)$, the number of occurrences of smallest parts in the partitions of n with smallest part even and without repeated odd parts, and for the higher order analog $\mathrm{M2spt}_2(n)$.

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

In [3] Atkin and Garvan found exact relations between the moments of the rank and crank generating function of partitions. This came out of a partial differential equation satisfied by the rank and crank. Garvan in [8] used these equations to deduce various congruences for Andrews' spt function and again used the equations in [9] to prove congruences for higher order analogs of the spt function. In [5], this same technique was used by Bringmann, Lovejoy, and Osburn to prove congruences for spt functions related to overpartitions. We use this idea to prove congruences for the M2spt function as well as a higher order analog. First we review the necessary definitions.

We recall a partition of a positive integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers that sum to n. For example, the partitions of 4 are 4, $3+1$, $2+2$, $2+1+1$, and $1+1+1+1$. We let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n, so $p(4) = 5$. In [2] Andrews introduced the smallest parts function, $\mathrm{spt}(n)$, a weighted count of the partitions of n. The idea is the count each partition by the number of times the smallest part occurs. We then have $\mathrm{spt}(4) = 10$.

For other types of partitions it is also natural to consider a corresponding smallest parts function. The restrictions we consider are partitions where the odd parts do not repeat and the smallest part is even. For example, such partitions of 11 are $9+2$, $7+4$, $7+2+2$, $6+3+2$, $5+4+2$, $5+2+2+2$, $4+3+2+2$, and $3+2+2+2+2$. We let $\mathrm{M2spt}(n)$ denote the number of smallest parts in these partitions of n, so $\mathrm{M2spt}(11) = 15$.

Two statistics often associated to partitions are the rank and crank of a partition. One point of interest is that these statistics explain certain linear congruences satisfied by $p(n)$. The rank of a partition is the largest part minus the number of parts. We let $N(m,n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank m and set

$$R(z,q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} N(m,n) z^m q^n.$$

The crank of a partition is the largest part if there are no ones and otherwise is the number of parts larger than the number of ones minus the number of ones. After suitably altering the interpretations for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, one has that

$$C(z,q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M(m,n) z^m q^n = \frac{(q;q)_\infty}{(zq, z^{-1}q; q)_\infty}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P82, 11P83.
Key words and phrases. Number theory, partitions, smallest parts function, congruences, rank moments, crank moments, higher order spt functions.

We then have the rank and crank moments given by

$$N_k(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^k N(m,n), \qquad M_k(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^k M(m,n).$$

We will use two differential operators:

$$\delta_q = q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \qquad \delta_z = z \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The generating functions for the rank and crank moments are given by

$$R_k = R_k(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_k(n) q^n = \delta_z^k R(z,q)|_{z=1},$$
$$C_k = C_k(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_k(n) q^n = \delta_z^k C(z,q)|_{z=1}.$$

We note for odd k that these moments are zero.

We recall the weight k Eisenstein series is given by

$$E_k(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n,$$

where B_k are the Bernoulli numbers and σ_{k-1} is a sum of divisors function

$$\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}.$$

We note that

$$E_k(q) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{k-1} q^n}{1-q^n}.$$

For even $k > 2$, $E_k(q)$ is the expansion at ∞ of a modular form of weight k for the full modular group $\Gamma_0(1)$.

We let $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ denote the finite dimensional vector space of modular forms of weight k with respect to the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$ of $\Gamma_0(1)$ with character χ. The various facts we use about modular forms can be found in [14]. Generally we will work with modular forms in terms of their q-series expansions, rather than as a function of τ in the upper half plane with $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. However at times it will be convenient to also work with τ, equations in which both q and τ appear should be interpreted in terms of q-series.

We let $\mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(N))$ denote the vector space of quasimodular forms with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$ of weight at most $2n$ and with zero constant term in the q-expansion at infinity. Elements of this vector space can be viewed as polynomials in $E_2(q)$ and holomorphic modular forms of non-negative weight on $\Gamma_0(N)$ where each monomial is of weight at most $2n$ (viewing $E_2(q)$ as having weight 2).

We will work with vector spaces of the form $A \cdot \mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(N))$, where A is some fixed product. We note the dimension of $A \cdot \mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(N))$ is the same as $\mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(N))$

In [3] Atkin and Garvan proved each $\delta_q^j C_{2k}$ is an element of $\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_{j+k}(\Gamma_0(1))$. Although each individual $\delta_q^j R_{2k}$ is not necessarily in any of the spaces $\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_m(\Gamma_0(1))$, these spaces do contain certain linear combinations of the $\delta_q^j R_{2k}$. Bringmann, Lovejoy, and Osburn proved similar facts for overpartitions in [5]. Here we prove the corresponding theorem for partitions without repeated odd parts.

The M_2-rank of a partition π without repeated odd parts is given by

$$M_2\text{-rank} = \left\lceil \frac{l(\pi)}{2} \right\rceil - \#(\pi), \tag{1.1}$$

where $l(\pi)$ is the largest part of π and $\#(\pi)$ is the number of parts of π. The M_2-rank was introduced by Berkovich and Garvan in [4] and was further studied by Lovejoy and Osburn in [12]. We let $N2(m,n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with distinct odd parts and M_2-rank m and let

$$R2(z,q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}N2(m,n)z^mq^n.$$

We use a residual crank from [7]. For a partition π without repeated odd parts, we consider the crank of the partition $\frac{\pi_e}{2}$ obtained by omitting the odd parts and halving each even part of π. Letting $M2(m,n)$ denote the number of partitions of n without repeated odd parts and this residual crank m, upon suitably altering this definition for such partitions whose only even part is a single 2, we find the generating function is given by

$$C2(z,q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}M2(m,n)z^mq^n=\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(zq^2;q^2\right)_\infty\left(z^{-1}q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}.$$

It turns out we will need two more residual crank like functions. We let

$$C1(z,q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}M1(m,n)z^mq^n=\frac{\left(q^2;q^4\right)_\infty\left(q;q\right)_\infty}{\left(zq;q\right)_\infty\left(z^{-1}q;q\right)_\infty},\tag{1.2}$$

$$C4(z,q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}M4(m,n)z^mq^n=\frac{\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty}{\left(q;q^2\right)_\infty\left(zq^4;q^4\right)_\infty\left(z^{-1}q^4;q^4\right)_\infty}.\tag{1.3}$$

While $M4(m,n)$ can be interpreted as a residual crank, such an interpretation for $M1(m,n)$ is not immediately clear.

We use the various moments

$$M1_k(n)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}m^kM1(m,n),\qquad M2_k(n)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}m^kM2(m,n),$$

$$M4_k(n)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}m^kM4(m,n),\qquad N2_k(n)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}m^kN2(m,n)$$

and their generating functions

$$C1_k=C1_k(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}M1_k(n)q^n=\delta_z^kC1(z,q)|_{z=1},$$

$$C2_k=C2_k(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}M2_k(n)q^n=\delta_z^kC2(z,q)|_{z=1},$$

$$C4_k=C4_k(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}M4_k(n)q^n=\delta_z^kC4(z,q)|_{z=1},$$

$$R2_k=R2_k(q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}N2_k(n)q^n=\delta_z^kR2(z,q)|_{z=1}.$$

We note for odd k that these moments are zero. To reduce the length of our calculations, we will often write the various functions without their dependence on z and q.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the following theorem and use it to prove various congruences.

Theorem 1.1. *For $N\geq 1$ the following are elements of $\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\cdot\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))$,*

(i) *the functions $\delta_q^m(C1_{2j})$ for $m\geq 0$, $1\leq j\leq N$, $j+m\leq N$,*

(ii) *the functions $\delta_q^m(C2_{2j})$ for $m\geq 0$, $1\leq j\leq N$, $j+m\leq N$,*

(ii) *the functions* $\delta_q^m(C4_{2j})$ *for* $m \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, $j+m \leq N$,

(iv) *the function*

$$(a^2-3a+2)R2_a + \sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k \end{array} \right) (2^{2k+1}-4)\delta_q R2_{a-2k}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1} \left(2 \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k \end{array} \right) - 2^{2k+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k+1 \end{array} \right) + (2^{2k+2}-2) \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k+2 \end{array} \right) \right) R2_{a-2k},$$

where $a = 2N$.

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1, in Section 3 we obtain exact relations between the rank and crank moments, and in Section 4 we use these relations to prove various congruences for $\mathrm{M2spt}\,(n)$ and a higher order analog $\mathrm{M2spt}_2\,(n)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof follows in the same fashion as a similar fact for the rank and crank of a partition [3] and both the Dyson rank and M2-rank of overpartitions along with the relevant residual cranks [5].

Thinking in terms of q-expansions, δ_q maps $\mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(N))$ to $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}(\Gamma_0(N))$. This follows from Proposition 2.11 of [14], which gives that δ_q takes modular forms to quasimodular forms, and by Ramanujan's identity that $\delta_q E_2(q) = (E_2(q)^2 - E_4(q))/12$. We note replacing q by q^m maps $M_n(\Gamma_0(N))$ to $M_n(\Gamma_0(mN))$. Since $E_2(q) - mE_2(q^m) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(m))$ for any positive integer m, we see that replacing q by q^m also maps $\mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(N))$ to $\mathcal{W}_n(\Gamma_0(mN))$.

By Atkin and Garvan [3] we know for $j \geq 1$ that $\delta_z^{2j} C(z,q)|_{z=1}$ is an element of $\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_j(\Gamma_0(1))$. We note for j odd that $\delta_z^j C(z,q)|_{z=1} = 0$. Thus for $j \geq 1$ we have $\delta_z^{2j} C(z,q^2)|_{z=1}$ in $\frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \mathcal{W}_j(\Gamma_0(2))$ and for odd j we have $\delta_z^j C(z,q^2)|_{z=1} = 0$. Also we note for $j = 0$ we have $C(z,q^2)|_{z=1} = \frac{1}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}$.

But then $C1_{2j}$, $C2_{2j}$, and $C4_{2j}$, for positive j, are elements of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \mathcal{W}_j(\Gamma_0(4))$. We will show that $\delta_q \left(\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \right)$ is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_1(\Gamma_0(4))$. Thus if f is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_k(\Gamma_0(4))$, then $\delta_q(f)$ is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_{k+1}(\Gamma_0(4))$, by induction and the product rule. This would prove parts (i), (ii), and (iii).

Proposition 1. $\delta_q \left(\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \right)$ *is an element of* $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_1(\Gamma_0(4))$.

Proof. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_q \frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} &= \frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)q^{2n+1}}{1+q^{2n+1}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2nq^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}} \right) \\
&= \frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2nq^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4nq^{4n}}{1-q^{4n}} \right) \\
&= \frac{-(-q;q^2)_\infty}{24\,(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \left(E_2(q) - 2E_2(q^2) + 4E_2(q^4) - 3 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E_2(q) - 2E_2(q^2) + 4E_2(q^4) - 3$ is a quasimodular form and in the q-expansion there is no constant term, we have $\delta_q \left(\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \right)$ is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_1(\Gamma_0(4))$. □

For part (iv), we start with a partial differential equation proved by Bringmann, Lovejoy, and Osburn in [6]:

$$2z\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(-q;q^2)_\infty}\left[C(z,q^2)\right]^3\left(-qz,-q/z;q^2\right)_\infty \quad = \left(2(1-z)^2\delta_q+(1+z)(1-z)\delta_z+2z+(1-z)^2\delta_z^2\right)R2(z,q). \tag{2.1}$$

We note that for $n\geq 1$

$$\delta_z^n z^m = m^n z^m,$$

in particular

$$\delta_z^n z = z, \qquad\qquad \delta_z^n z^2 = 2^n z^2.$$

Also by Leibniz's rule

$$\delta_z^n(f\cdot g)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array}\right)(\delta_z^k f)(\delta_z^{n-k}g),$$

thus

$$\delta_z^n(z\cdot f)|_{z=1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array}\right)\delta_z^{n-k}f, \qquad\qquad \delta_z^n(z^2\cdot f)|_{z=1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array}\right)2^k\delta_z^{n-k}f.$$

The right hand side of (2.1) is

$$(2z^2-4z+2)\delta_q R2(z,q)+(-z^2+1)\delta_z R2(z,q)+2zR2(z,q)+(z^2-2z+1)\delta_z^2 R2(z,q),$$

so applying δ_z^a, for positive even a, and setting $z=1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)(2^{k+1}-4)\delta_z^{a-k}\delta_q R2(z,q)|_{z=1}+2\delta_z^a\delta_q R2(z,q)|_{z=1}\\
&-\sum_{k=0}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)2^k\delta_z^{a-k+1}R2(z,q)|_{z=1}+\delta_z^{a+1}R2(z,q)|_{z=1}+2\sum_{k=0}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)\delta_z^{a-k}R2(z,q)|_{z=1}\\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)(2^k-2)\delta_z^{a-k+2}R2(z,q)|_{z=1}+\delta_z^{a+2}R2(z,q)|_{z=1}\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)(2^{k+1}-4)\delta_q R2_{a-k}-\sum_{k=0}^{a-1}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k+1 \end{array}\right)2^{k+1}R2_{a-k}+2\sum_{k=0}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)R2_{a-k}\\
&+\sum_{k=-1}^{a-2}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k+2 \end{array}\right)(2^{k+2}-2)R2_{a-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting $R2_m=0$ for m odd, the above is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k \end{array}\right)(2^{2k+1}-4)\delta_q R2_{a-2k}\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1}\left(2\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k \end{array}\right)-2^{2k+1}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k+1 \end{array}\right)+(2^{2k+2}-2)\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k+2 \end{array}\right)\right)R2_{a-2k}\\
&+(2^{a+1}-4)\delta_q R2_0+(a^2-3a+2)R2_a+2R2_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying δ_z^a to the left hand side of (2.1) we get

$$2\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(-q;q^2)_\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)\delta_z^k(zC(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}\delta_z^{a-k}((-zq;-q/z)_\infty)|_{z=1}$$

$$=2\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(-q;q^2)_\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{a-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}\delta_z^{a-k}((-zq;-q/z)_\infty)|_{z=1}$$
$$+2\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty\sum_{j=1}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ j \end{array}\right)\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}+2\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}.$$

Noting $M2_0=R2_0=\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}$, we have now

$$-(2^{a+1}-4)\delta_qR2_0+2\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(-q;q^2)_\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{a-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}\delta_z^{a-k}((-zq;-q/z)_\infty)|_{z=1}$$
$$+2\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty\sum_{j=1}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ j \end{array}\right)\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}$$
$$=(a^2-3a+2)R2_a+\sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k \end{array}\right)(2^{2k+1}-4)\delta_qR2_{a-2k}$$
$$+\sum_{k=1}^{a/2-1}\left(2\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k \end{array}\right)-2^{2k+1}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k+1 \end{array}\right)+(2^{2k+2}-2)\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ 2k+2 \end{array}\right)\right)R2_{a-2k}. \tag{2.2}$$

To prove the theorem, we show the left hand side of (2.2) is in $\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\cdot\mathcal{W}_{a/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$. We do this by working term by term.

By applying the Leibniz rule and examining terms, we find that for j odd $\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}=0$ and for j even and positive $\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}$ is in $\frac{1}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^3}\cdot\mathcal{W}_{j/2}(\Gamma_0(2))$. Thus

$$2\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty\sum_{j=1}^{a}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ j \end{array}\right)\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}$$

is and element of $\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\cdot\mathcal{W}_{a/2}(\Gamma_0(2))$.

For $\left(-qz,-q/z;q^2\right)_\infty$, we start by noting

$$\delta_z\left(-qz,-q/z;q^2\right)_\infty=\left[\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{zq^{2n+1}}{1+zq^{2n+1}}-\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{z^{-1}q^{2n+1}}{1+z^{-1}q^{2n+1}}\right]\left(-qz,-q/z;q^2\right)_\infty$$
$$=\left[\sum_{n\geq0}\sum_{m\geq1}(-1)^m(z^{-m}-z^m)q^{m(2n+1)}\right]\left(-qz,-q/z;q^2\right)_\infty.$$

We set

$$F(z,q)=\sum_{n\geq0}\sum_{m\geq1}(-1)^m(z^{-m}-z^m)q^{m(2n+1)}.$$

Proposition 2. *For ℓ even, $\delta_z^\ell F(z,q)|_{z=1}=0$. For ℓ odd, $\delta_z^\ell F(z,q)|_{z=1}$ is an element of $\mathcal{W}_{(\ell+1)/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$.*

Proof. We see

$$\delta_z^\ell F(z,q)|_{z=1}=\sum_{n\geq0}\sum_{m\geq1}(-1)^m((-1)^\ell m^\ell-m^\ell)q^{m(2n+1)}$$
$$=\begin{cases}0 & \ell \text{ even}\\ -2\sum_{n\geq0}\sum_{m\geq1}(-1)^m m^\ell q^{m(2n+1)} & \ell \text{ odd}\end{cases}.$$

Next we use

$$-2\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{m\geq 1}(-1)^m m^\ell q^{m(2n+1)} = -4\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{(2m)^\ell q^{2m}}{1-q^{2m}} + 2\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{m^\ell q^m}{1-q^{2m}}.$$

We note

$$2\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{m^\ell q^m}{1-q^{2m}} = 2\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{m^\ell q^m}{1-q^m} - 2\sum_{m\geq 1}\frac{m^\ell q^{2m}}{1-q^{2m}} = -\frac{B_{\ell+1}}{\ell+1}\left(E_{\ell+1}(q) - E_{\ell+1}(q^2)\right).$$

Thus

$$-2\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{m\geq 1}(-1)^m m^\ell q^{m(2n+1)} = -\frac{B_{\ell+1}}{\ell+1}\left(E_{\ell+1}(q) - E_{\ell+1}(q^2) - 2^{\ell+1}E_{\ell+1}(q^2) + 2^{\ell+1}E_{\ell+1}(q^4)\right).$$

For $\ell > 1$ odd this is a modular form of weight $\ell+1$ with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$, for $\ell = 1$ this is a quasimodular form of weight 2 with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$, noting there is no constant term we see $\delta_z^\ell F(z,q)|_{z=1}$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{(\ell+1)/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$.

□

By inducting on j, we find for $j \geq 1$ that $\delta_z^j\left(-qz, -q/z; q^2\right)_\infty|_{z=1} \in \left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty^2 \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor j/2\rfloor}(\Gamma_0(4))$.

Working term by term we then find

$$2\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^2}{(-q;q^2)_\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{a-1}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ k \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ j \end{array}\right)\delta_z^j(C(z,q^2)^3)|_{z=1}\delta_z^{a-k}((-zq;-q/z)_\infty)|_{z=1}$$

is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\mathcal{W}_{a/2}(\Gamma_0(4))$.

The only remaining term is $-(2^{a+1}-4)\delta_q R2_0$. But $R2_0 = \frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}$ and we have already verified $\delta_q\left(\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\right)$ is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\mathcal{W}_1(\Gamma_0(4))$. This accounts for all the terms on the left hand side of (2.2), so the theorem is proved.

3. Exact Relations

We note since every quasimodular form with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$ can be written uniquely as a polynomial in E_2 with coefficients that are modular forms with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$, this is by Proposition 1 of [11], each element of $\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} E_2(q)^n f_n(q), \qquad \text{where } f_n \in \sum_{j=0}^{2N-2n} M_j(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

However, not all elements of this form are in $\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))$, since we require the elements of $\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))$ to have zero constant term. In terms of computing the dimension of $\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))$, this simply means we must subtract 1. That is,

$$Dim(\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N-2n} Dim(M_j(\Gamma_0(4)))\right) - 1 = N + \sum_{n=0}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N-n} Dim(M_{2j}(\Gamma_0(4))).$$

In particular $\{Dim(\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4)))\}_{N=1}^\infty = \{3, 9, 19, 34, 55, \dots\}$. We recall that
$Dim\left(\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\cdot \mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4))\right) = Dim(\mathcal{W}_N(\Gamma_0(4)))$.

For $N = 2$ and 3 we will find the function in part (iv) of Theorem 1.1 to be in the span of the functions from parts (i), (ii), and (iii). We list these exact relations. The $N = 1$ case is of no interest since the function in part (iv) is zero.

Corollary 3.1. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$6N2_4(n) + (24n-6)N2_2(n) = \frac{516-1356n}{469}M1_2(n) + \frac{120}{67}M1_4(n) + \frac{960-360n}{469}M2_2(n) - \frac{4896}{469}M2_4(n) - \frac{2976+5424n}{469}M4_2(n) + \frac{1920}{67}M4_4(n). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. With $N = 2$ we have $Dim(\mathcal{W}_2(\Gamma_0(4))) = 9$ and find, using Maple, that the 9 functions from parts (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 1.1 are linearly independent.

Expressing the function from part (iv) in terms of this basis is

$$6R2_4 + 24\delta_q R2_2 - 6R2_2 = \frac{516}{469}C1_2 - \frac{1356}{469}\delta_q C1_2 + \frac{120}{67}C1_4 + \frac{960}{469}C2_2 - \frac{360}{469}\delta_q C2_2 - \frac{4896}{469}C2_4 - \frac{2976}{469}C4_2 - \frac{5424}{469}\delta_q C4_2 + \frac{1920}{67}C4_4.$$

Expressing this identity in terms of the coefficients of the series is (3.1). □

We need one more element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_3(\Gamma_0(4))$. By Theorems 1.64 and 1.65 of [14], we know $\eta(2\tau)^{12}$ to be an element of $M_6(\Gamma_0(4))$ and vanishes at the cusp infinity. Thus

$$F(q) = q\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty \left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^{11}$$

is an element of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_3(\Gamma_0(4))$.

Corollary 3.2. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&20N2_6(n) + (80+60n)N2_4(n) + (420n-100)N2_2(n)\\
&= \frac{21624800-61258080n+5880120n^2}{1119503}M1_2(n) + \frac{5256200-584400n}{159929}M1_4(n) + \frac{320}{341}M1_6(n)\\
&\quad + \frac{35188800-11366640n-1945200n^2}{1119503}M2_2(n) - \frac{187116960+3942720n}{1119503}M2_4(n) - \frac{7680}{341}M2_6(n)\\
&\quad + \left(\frac{-114563200+23520480n^2}{1119503} - \frac{20044320n}{101773}\right)M4_2(n) + \left(\frac{2422400}{5159} - \frac{9350400n}{159929}\right)M4_4(n)\\
&\quad + \frac{20480}{341}M4_6(n).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. With $N = 3$ we have $Dim(\mathcal{W}_2(\Gamma_0(4))) = 19$ and find, using Maple, that the 18 functions from parts (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 1.1 along with F are linearly independent. Expressing the function in part (iv) in terms of this basis gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&20R2_6 + 60\delta_q R2_4 + 420\delta_q R2_2 + 80R2_4 - 100R2_2\\
&= \frac{21624800}{1119503}C1_2 - \frac{61258080}{1119503}\delta_q C1_2 + \frac{5880120}{1119503}\delta_q^2 C1_2 + \frac{5256200}{159929}C1_4 - \frac{584400}{159929}\delta_q C1_4 + \frac{320}{341}C1_6\\
&\quad + \frac{35188800}{1119503}C2_2 - \frac{11366640}{1119503}\delta_q C2_2 - \frac{1945200}{1119503}\delta_q^2 C2_2 - \frac{187116960}{1119503}C2_4 - \frac{3942720}{1119503}\delta_q C2_4 - \frac{7680}{341}C2_6\\
&\quad - \frac{114563200}{1119503}C4_2 - \frac{20044320}{101773}\delta_q C4_2 + \frac{23520480}{1119503}\delta_q^2 C4_2 + \frac{2422400}{5159}C4_4 - \frac{9350400}{159929}\delta_q C4_4 + \frac{20480}{341}C4_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Expressing this identity in terms of the coefficients of the series is (3.2). □

It is somewhat surprising that while the functions from (i), (ii), and (iii) do not give a basis for $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_3(\Gamma_0(4))$, the function in part (iv) is indeed in their span. For higher values of N, one can take the functions from parts (i), (ii), and (iii) and complete them to a basis for the appropriate space by adding in known modular forms with respect to $\Gamma_0(4)$, however in these cases it no longer appears that the function from part (iv) is in the span of just the functions from parts (i), (ii), and (iii).

To derive all of our congruences we will need one more relation, here we need one more rank function. An overpartition of n is a partition of n in which the first occurrence of a part may, or may not, be overlined. The overpartitions of 4 are 4, $\overline{4}$, $3+1$, $3+\overline{1}$, $\overline{3}+1$, $\overline{3}+\overline{1}$, $2+2$, $\overline{2}+2$, $1+1+1+1$, and $\overline{1}+1+1+1$. The Dyson rank of an overpartition is the largest part minus the number of parts. We let $\overline{N}(m,n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n with Dyson rank m. We set

$$\overline{R}(z,q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{N}(m,n) z^m q^n,$$

$$\overline{N}_k(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^k \overline{N}(m,n),$$

$$\overline{R}_k = \overline{R}_k(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{N}_k(n) q^n = \delta_z^k \ \overline{R}(z,q)|_{z=1}.$$

Corollary 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} F1 - \frac{159}{64} F2 = {} & \frac{948341197409}{633638698} C1_2 - \frac{318249663559}{1267277396} \delta_q C1_2 + \frac{18906057102}{316819349} \delta_q^2 C1_2 - \frac{221063911175}{181039628} C1_4 \\ & - \frac{1124944110}{45259907} \delta_q C1_4 - \frac{11439407}{193006} C1_6 - \frac{8682641651833}{5069109584} C2_2 - \frac{724498277229}{633638698} \delta_q C2_2 \\ & + \frac{14799375252}{316819349} \delta_q^2 C2_2 + \frac{2398983090355}{1267277396} C2_4 - \frac{59855835000}{316819349} \delta_q C2_4 + \frac{12021538}{96503} C2_6 \\ & + \frac{13424561341}{633638698} C4_2 - \frac{15708001159}{28801759} \delta_q C4_2 + \frac{37605906528}{316819349} \delta_q^2 C4_2 + \frac{1078788930}{1459997} C4_4 \\ & - \frac{7136728080}{45259907} \delta_q C4_4 + \frac{4510496}{96503} C4_6, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$F1 = F1(q) = \left(q^2;q^4\right)_\infty \left(10R_6 + 90\delta_q R_4 + 630\delta_q R_2 + 40R_4 - 50R_2\right),$$

$$F2 = F2(q) = \frac{\left(q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(-q^2;q^2\right)_\infty} \left(20\overline{R}_6 + 120\delta_q \overline{R}_4 + 1920\delta_q \overline{R}_2 + 275\overline{R}_4 + 215\overline{R}_2\right).$$

Proof. By the first line of the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [3] we know

$$10R_6 + 90\delta_q R_4 + 630\delta_q R_2 + 40R_4 - 50R_2$$

to be an element of $\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_3(\Gamma_0(1))$ and by Theorem 1.1 of [5] we know

$$20\overline{R}_6 + 120\delta_q \overline{R}_4 + 1920\delta_q \overline{R}_2 + 275\overline{R}_4 + 215\overline{R}_2$$

to be an element of $\frac{(-q;q)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_3(\Gamma_0(2))$. Thus $F1(q)$ and $F2(q)$ are elements of $\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_3(\Gamma_0(2))$.

Expressing $F1 - \frac{159}{64} F2$ in terms of the basis from Corollary 3.2 is (3.3). □

The reason for choosing this specific combination of $F1$ and $F2$ is that to express $F1$ or $F2$ in terms of the chosen basis, the function F is required, however this combination eliminates the need for F.

4. Congruences For $\mathrm{M2spt}_1(n)$ and $\mathrm{M2spt}_2(n)$.

We recall $\mathrm{M2spt}(n)$ is the total number of occurrences of the smallest parts in the partitions of n with smallest part even and without repeated odd parts. In [10] the author introduced a generalization of $\mathrm{M2spt}(n)$ given by

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_k(n) = \mu 2_{2k}(n) - \eta 2_{2k}(n),$$

where

$$\eta 2_k(n) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{m + \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}{k} N2(m,n), \qquad \mu 2_k(n) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{m + \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}{k} M2(m,n).$$

One finds that $\mathrm{M2spt}_1(n) = \mathrm{M2spt}(n)$. These higher order generalizations have a combinatorial interpretation as a weighted count of partitions based on the frequency of various parts. In particular, letting S2 denote the set of partitions with with smallest part even and without repeated odd parts and letting $f_j(\pi)$ denote the frequency of the j-th even part of such a partition π, we have

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{\pi\in \mathrm{S2}\\ |\pi|=n}} \left(\binom{f_1(\pi)+1}{3} + f_1(\pi) \sum_{m\geq 2} \binom{f_m(\pi)+1}{2} \right).$$

It turns out

$$\eta 2_{2k}(n) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} g_k(m) N2(m,n), \qquad \mu 2_{2k}(n) = \frac{1}{(2k)!} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} g_k(m) M2(m,n),$$

where $g_k(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1}(x^2 - j^2)$. One then computes that

$$\mathrm{M2spt}(n) = \mathrm{M2spt}_1(n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(M2_2(n) - N2_2(n)\right), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_2(n) = \frac{1}{24}\left(M2_4(n) - M2_2(n) - N2_4(n) + N2_2(n)\right). \tag{4.2}$$

We prove the following congruences:

Theorem 4.1. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$\mathrm{M2spt}(3n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathrm{M2spt}(5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 5, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\mathrm{M2spt}(5n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_2(5n) \equiv 0 \pmod 5, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_2(5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 5, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_2(5n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 5. \tag{4.8}$$

In [7] Garvan and the author proved the congruences (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5) by methods quite different from what we use here. There the idea is to give a combinatorial refinement of the congruences in terms of an spt-crank. The spt-crank is obtained by generalizing the generating function for $\mathrm{M2spt}(n)$ with an extra variable. By considering this spt-crank at a primitive third root of unity, one is able to deduce the spt-crank evenly divides into three groups the number $\mathrm{M2spt}(3n+1)$. This similarly works with a primitive fifth root of unity for the other two congruences.

By (4.1) and (4.2), we see the congruences will follow from the congruences between the rank and crank moments.

Theorem 4.2. *For $n \geq 0$,*

$$N2_2(3n+1) = M2_2(3n+1) \pmod 3, \tag{4.9}$$

$$M2_2(5n) \equiv 0 \pmod 5, \tag{4.10}$$

$$N2_4(5n) + 4N2_2(5n) \equiv M2_4(5n) + 4M2_2(5n) \pmod 5, \tag{4.11}$$
$$N2_2(5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 5, \tag{4.12}$$
$$M2_2(5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 5, \tag{4.13}$$
$$N2_4(5n+1) \equiv M2_4(5n+1) \pmod 5, \tag{4.14}$$
$$N2_2(5n+3) \equiv M2_2(5n+3) \pmod 5, \tag{4.15}$$
$$N2_4(5n+3) \equiv M2_4(5n+3) \pmod 5. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We note that for a fixed prime p, $m^p \equiv m \pmod p$ for all integers m, and so for $k \geq 1$ each k-th moment is congruent modulo p to the corresponding $(k+p-1)$-th moment. For example,

$$N2_4(n) \equiv N2_2(n) \pmod 3,$$
$$M4_6(n) \equiv M4_2(n) \pmod 5.$$

Dividing (3.1) by 3 and reducing modulo 3 yields

$$2nN2_2(n) \equiv (2+n)M1_2(n) + 2M2_2(n) + (2+n)M4_2 \pmod 3. \tag{4.17}$$

Replacing n by $3n+1$ gives

$$2N2_2(3n+1) \equiv 2M2_2(3n+1) \pmod 3.$$

Reducing (3.1) modulo 5 yields

$$N2_4(n) + (4+4n)N2_2(n) \equiv (4+n)M1_2(n) + M2_4(n) + (1+4n)M4_2(n) \pmod 5. \tag{4.18}$$

Reducing (3.2) modulo 5 yields

$$\begin{aligned}(1+2n)N2_4(n) + (4+4n)N2_2(n) \equiv (4+3n+3n^2)M1_2(n) + (4+4n)M2_2(n) + (1+2n)M2_4(n)\\ + (1+2n+2n^2)M4_2(n) \pmod 5.\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Reducing (3.3) modulo 5 yields

$$(1+n+3n^2)M1_2(n) + (4+2n+3n^2)M2_2(n) + (4+4n+2n^2)M4_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 5. \tag{4.20}$$

Replacing n by $5n$ in (4.18), (4.19), and (4.20) yields

$$N2_4(5n) + 4N2_2(5n) \equiv 4M1_2(5n) + M2_4(5n) + M4_2(5n), \tag{4.21}$$
$$N2_4(5n) + 4N2_2(5n) \equiv 4M1_2(5n) + 4M2_2(5n) + M2_4(5n) + M4_2(5n), \tag{4.22}$$
$$0 \equiv M1_2(5n) + 4M2_2(5n) + 4M4_2(5n). \tag{4.23}$$

Adding (4.21) and (4.23) we have

$$N2_4(5n) + 4N2_2(5n) \equiv M2_4(5n) + 4M2_2(5n) \pmod 5.$$

Also subtracting (4.22) and (4.21) we have

$$M2_2(5n) \equiv 0 \pmod 5.$$

Replacing n by $5n+1$ in (4.18), (4.19), and (4.20) yields

$$N2_4(5n+1) + 3N2_2(5n+1) \equiv M2_4(5n+1) \pmod 5, \tag{4.24}$$
$$3N2_4(5n+1) + 3N2_2(5n+1) \equiv 3M2_2(5n+1) + 3M2_4(5n+1) \pmod 5, \tag{4.25}$$
$$0 \equiv 4M2_2(5n+1). \tag{4.26}$$

By (4.24), (4.25), and (4.26) we find

$$N2_2(5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod 5,$$

which with (4.24) gives

$$N2_4(5n+1) \equiv M2_4(5n+1) \pmod 5.$$

Replacing n by $5n+3$ in (4.18), (4.19), and (4.20) yields

$$N2_4(5n+3)+N2_2(5n+3)\equiv 2M1_2(5n+3)+M2_4(5n+3)+3M4_2(5n+3) \pmod 5, \tag{4.27}$$

$$2N2_4(5n+3)+N2_2(5n+3)\equiv M2_2(5n+3)+2M2_4(5n+3) \pmod 5, \tag{4.28}$$

$$0\equiv M1_2(5n+3)+2M2_2(5n+3)+4M4_2(5n+3) \pmod 5. \tag{4.29}$$

Subtracting (4.28) from (4.27) and then applying (4.29) gives

$$4N2_4(5n+3)\equiv 4M2_4(5n+3) \pmod 5.$$

This, along with (4.28), gives

$$N2_2(5n+3)\equiv M2_2(5n+3) \pmod 5.$$

□

Using standard techniques for modular forms, we can establish additional congruences for $\mathrm{M2spt}\,(n)$ and $\mathrm{M2spt}_2\,(n)$. We define the operators U_m and $S_{m,r}$ on formal power series by

$$U_m\left(\sum_{n=0} a(n)q^n\right)=\sum_{n=0} a(mn)q^n,$$

$$S_{m,r}\left(\sum_{n=0} a(n)q^n\right)=\sum_{n=0} a(mn+r)q^{mn+r}.$$

Proposition 2.22 of [14] states U_m maps $M_k(\Gamma_0(N),\chi)$ to $M_k(\Gamma_0(\mathrm{lcm}(N,m)),\chi)$. In terms of $S_{m,r}$, we will only need to know where $S_{9,r}$ sends a modular form. For $(r,3)=1$ each $S_{9,r}$ can be obtained by a linear combination of twists by modulo 9 Dirichlet characters. Since each Dirichlet character modulo 9 can be written as a product of primitive Dirichlet characters modulo 1, 3, or 9, by Lemma 4.3.10 of [13] we see for $(r,3)=1$ that $S_{9,r}$ maps $M_k(\Gamma_0(N),\chi)$ to $M_k(\Gamma_0(\mathrm{lcm}(N,81)),\chi)$.

Theorem 4.3. *For $n\geq 0$ we have* $\mathrm{M2spt}_2\,(9n)\equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

Proof. Since

$$\mathrm{M2spt}_2\,(n)=\frac{1}{24}\left(M2_4(n)-M2_2(n)-N2_4(n)+N2_2(n)\right),$$

we work with the rank and crank moments modulo 9. Dividing (3.1) by 3, replacing n by $9n$, and reducing modulo 9 yields

$$\begin{aligned}2N2_4(9n)+7N2_2(9n)\equiv{}& M1_2(9n)+M1_4(9n)+5M2_2(9n)+6M2_4(9n)\\&+7M4_2(9n)+7M4_4(9n) \pmod 9.\end{aligned}$$

With this we find that

$$\begin{aligned}M2_4(9n)-M2_2(9n)-N2_4(9n)+N2_2(9n)\equiv{}& 4M1_2(9n)+4M1_4(9n)+M2_2(9n)+7M2_4(9n)+M4_2(9n)\\&+M4_4(9n) \pmod 9.\end{aligned}$$

Noting $3m^2\equiv 3m^4 \pmod 9$, we can further rearrange terms to get

$$\begin{aligned}M2_4(9n)-M2_2(9n)-N2_4(9n)+N2_2(9n)\equiv{}& M1_2(9n)+7M1_4(9n)+M2_2(9n)+7M2_4(9n)-2M4_2(9n)\\&+4M4_4(9n) \pmod 9.\end{aligned}$$

We let $G(q)\in \frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\cdot \mathcal{W}_2(\Gamma_0(4))$ be given by

$$G(q)=C1_2(q)+7C1_4(q)+C2_2(q)+7C2_4(q)-2C4_2(q)+4C4_4(q).$$

This time we use the basis

$$\left\{\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\left(E_j(q^k)-1\right): j=2,4 \text{ and } k=1,2,4\right\}\cup\left\{\frac{(-q;q^2)_\infty}{(q^2;q^2)_\infty}\left(E_2(q^k)^2-1\right): k=1,2,4\right\}.$$

Expressing $G(q)$ in terms of this basis yields

$$G(q) = \frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\frac{1}{240}\left(27 - 90E_2(q) - 90E_2(q^2) + 90E_2(q^4) + 35E_2(q)^2 + 35E_2(q^2)^2 \right.$$
$$\left. -25E_2(q^4)^2 + 14E_4(q) + 14E_4(q^2) - 10E_4(q^4)\right). \tag{4.30}$$

From

$$E_2(q) \equiv E_2(q) - 27E_2(q^{27}) \pmod{27},$$
$$9E_2(q) \equiv 9E_4(q) \pmod{27},$$

and recalling that $E_2(q) - 27E_2(q^{27}) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(27))$, we see

$$\frac{1}{240}\left(27 - 90E_2(q) - 90E_2(q^2) + 90E_2(q^4) + 35E_2(q)^2 + 35E_2(q^2)^2 - 25E_2(q^4)^2 \right.$$
$$\left. +14E_4(q) + 14E_4(q^2) - 10E_4(q^4)\right)$$

is congruent modulo 9 to some $G_1(q) \in M_4(\Gamma_0(108))$. We note $G_1(q)$ will have bounded rational coefficients with denominators relatively prime to 3. Next we have

$$\left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty^3}{(q^3;q^3)_\infty}\right)^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{9},$$

and so

$$G(q) \equiv \frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty (q;q)_\infty^8}{(q^3;q^3)_\infty^3 \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty} G_1(q) \pmod{9}.$$

We note $S_{9,0}(G(q)) \equiv 0 \pmod 9$ if $S_{9,0}\left(G(q)\left(q^9;q^9\right)_\infty^3\right) \equiv 0 \pmod 9$, so we consider

$$q\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty (q;q)_\infty^8 \left(q^9;q^9\right)_\infty^3}{(q^3;q^3)_\infty^3 \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty} G_1(q) = \frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta(\tau)^8\eta(9\tau)^3}{\eta(3\tau)^3\eta(4\tau)} G_1(q).$$

By Theorems 1.64 and 1.65 of [14] the latter is an element of $M_8\left(\Gamma_0(1728), \left(\frac{6}{\cdot}\right)\right)$.

Since $1728 = 2^6 \cdot 3^3$, we know

$$S_{9,1}\left(\frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta(\tau)^8\eta(9\tau)^3}{\eta(3\tau)^3\eta(4\tau)} G_1(q)\right) \in M_8\left(\Gamma_0(5184), \left(\frac{6}{\cdot}\right)\right).$$

The Sturm bound for this space is 6912, so we verify

$$S_{9,1}\left(\frac{\eta(2\tau)\eta(\tau)^8\eta(9\tau)^3}{\eta(3\tau)^3\eta(4\tau)} G_1(q)\right) \equiv 0 \pmod 9$$

by checking the congruence holds out to q^{7000}. This in turn implies $S_{9,0}(G(q)) \equiv 0 \pmod 9$, which gives the congruence $\text{M2spt}_2(9n) \equiv 0 \pmod 3$.

□

It would appear, at least for small primes ℓ, that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{M2spt}\left(\ell n + \beta_\ell\right) q^n \equiv \left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\right)^{r_\ell} H(q) \pmod{\ell},$$

where $H(q) \in M_{\frac{\ell - r_\ell}{2}+1}(\Gamma_0(4))$ with the β_ℓ and r_ℓ defined by $1 \le \beta_\ell < \ell$, $8\beta_\ell \equiv 1 \pmod \ell$, and $r_\ell = \frac{8\beta_\ell - 1}{\ell}$. This should be compared with Theorem 6.1 of [8], where Garvan derives similar congruences for the spt function up to $\ell = 37$. Although we do not fully investigate this, we do prove the cases when $\ell = 3$ and 5.

Theorem 4.4. *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{M2spt}\,(3n+2)\,q^n \equiv \left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\right)^5 \pmod 3, \tag{4.31}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{M2spt}\,(5n+2)\,q^n \equiv \left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\right)^3 \left(E_2(q)+E_2(q^2)+4E_2(q^4)\right) \pmod 5. \tag{4.32}$$

Here we note that $E_2(q)+E_2(q^2)+4E_2(q^4) \equiv E_2(q)-2E_2(q^2)-2E_2(q^2)+4E_2(q^4) \pmod 5$*, the latter of which we recognize as a modular form with respect to* $\Gamma_0(4)$*.*

Proof. Replacing n by $3n+2$ in (4.17) yields

$$N2_2(3n+2) \equiv M1_2(3n+2)+2M2_2(3n+2)+M4_2(3n+2) \pmod 3,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\text{M2spt}\,(3n+2) &\equiv 2M2_2(3n+2)-2N2_2(3n+2)\\ &\equiv M1_2(3n+2)+M2_2(3n+2)+M4_2(3n+2) \pmod 3.\end{aligned}$$

We set $G(q)=C1_2(q)+C2_2(q)+C4_2(q)$ so that $G(q) \in \frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_1(\Gamma_0(4))$. Expanding $G(q)$ in terms of the basis

$$\left\{\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\left(E_2(q^n)-1\right) : n=1,2,4\right\}$$

yields

$$G(q)=\frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}\frac{-1}{12}\left(E_2(q)+E_2(q^2)+E_2(q^4)-3\right).$$

We use that

$$\begin{aligned}E_2(q) &\equiv E_2(q)-9E_2(q^9) \pmod 9,\\ 3E_2(q) &\equiv 3 \pmod 9,\end{aligned}$$

and recall that $E_2(q)-9E_2(q^9) \in M_2(\Gamma_0(9))$, to see that $\frac{-1}{12}(E_2(q)+E_2(q^2)+E_2(q^4)-3)$ is congruent modulo 3 to a modular form of weight 2 with respect to $\Gamma_0(36)$. We let $G_1(q)$ be such a modular form. Next,

$$\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty} \equiv \frac{(q;q)_\infty^8\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^8}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^8}\frac{\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty^3}{\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^3\left(q^{12};q^{12}\right)_\infty^3} \pmod 3,$$

so that

$$S_{3,2}\left(\frac{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty}{(q;q)_\infty\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty}G_1(q)\right) \equiv \frac{\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty^3}{\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^3\left(q^{12};q^{12}\right)_\infty^3}S_{3,2}\left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty^8\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^8}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^8}G_1(q)\right) \pmod 3.$$

It then only remains to show

$$S_{3,2}\left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty^8\left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^8}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^8}G_1(q)\right) \equiv q^2\frac{\left(q^3;q^3\right)_\infty^8\left(q^{12};q^{12}\right)_\infty^8}{\left(q^6;q^6\right)_\infty^8} \pmod 3,$$

which is equivalent to

$$U_3\left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8}G_1(q)\right) \equiv \frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8} \pmod 3. \tag{4.33}$$

By Theorems 1.64 and 1.65 of [14] we know $\frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8}$ to be an element of $M_4(\Gamma_0(4))$. Additionally then $\frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8}G_1(q)$ is an element of $M_6(\Gamma_0(36))$. Since 3 divides 36 we have $U_3\left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8}G_1(q)\right)$ is also an

element of $M_6(\Gamma_0(36))$. Noting $1 \equiv -E_2(q)+2E_2(q^2) \pmod 3$, we may view (4.33) as a congruence between elements of $M_6(\Gamma_0(36))$. The Sturm bound for this space is 48, verifying the congruence for this many terms then proves (4.31).

Replacing n by $5n+2$ in (4.19) gives

$$2N2_2(5n+2) \equiv 2M1_2(5n+2) + 2M2_2(5n+2) + 3M4_2(5n+2) \pmod 5,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{M2spt}\,(5n+2) &\equiv 3M2_2(5n+2) - 3N2_2(5n+2) \\ &\equiv 2M1_2(5n+2) + 3M4_2(5n+2) \pmod 5. \end{aligned}$$

We take $G(q) \in \frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty} \cdot \mathcal{W}_1(\Gamma_0(4))$ given by $G(q) = 2C1_2(q) + 3C4_2(q)$. We find that

$$G(q) = \frac{\left(-q;q^2\right)_\infty}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty} \frac{-1}{12} \left(2E_2(q) + 3E_2(q^4) - 5\right).$$

We note that $\frac{-1}{12}\left(2E_2(q) + 3E_2(q^4) - 5\right)$ is congruent modulo 5 to an element, call it $G_1(q)$, of $M_2(\Gamma_0(20))$. Along with

$$\frac{(q;q)_\infty^5}{\left(q^5;q^5\right)_\infty} \equiv 1 \pmod 5,$$

we find that

$$G(q) \equiv \frac{\left(q^{10};q^{10}\right)_\infty^5}{\left(q^5;q^5\right)_\infty^5 \left(q^{20};q^{20}\right)_\infty^5} \frac{(q;q)_\infty^{24} \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^{24}}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^{24}} G_1(q) \pmod 5.$$

It then only remains to show

$$S_{5,2}\left(\frac{(q;q)_\infty^{24} \left(q^4;q^4\right)_\infty^{24}}{\left(q^2;q^2\right)_\infty^{24}} G_1(q)\right) \equiv q^2 \frac{\left(q^5;q^5\right)_\infty^8 \left(q^{20};q^{20}\right)_\infty^8}{\left(q^{10};q^{10}\right)_\infty^8} \left(E_2(q^5) + E_2(q^{10}) + 4E_2(q^{20})\right) \pmod 5.$$

This is equivalent to

$$U_5\left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}\eta(2\tau)^{24}}{\eta(2\tau)^{24}} G_1(q)\right) \equiv \frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8} \left(E_2(q) + E_2(q^2) + 4E_2(q^4)\right) \pmod 5. \tag{4.34}$$

However we have $U_5\left(\frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}\eta(4\tau)^{24}}{\eta(2\tau)^{24}} G_1(q)\right)$ is an element of $M_{14}(\Gamma_0(20))$ and $\frac{\eta(\tau)^8\eta(4\tau)^8}{\eta(2\tau)^8}\left(E_2(q) + E_2(q^2) + 4E_2(q^4)\right)$ is an element of $M_6(\Gamma_0(4))$. Since $E_4(q) \equiv 1 \pmod 5$, we can view (4.34) as a congruence between elements of $M_{14}(\Gamma_0(20))$, the Sturm bound for this space is 42. Verifying (4.34) holds past this power of q proves (4.32).

□

Since $\frac{\eta(8\tau)\eta(32\tau)}{\eta(16\tau)} \in M_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Gamma_0(256), \left(\frac{2}{\cdot}\right)\right)$, we can use Theorem 4.4 to prove the congruences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{M2spt}\,(27n+26) &\equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ \text{M2spt}\,(125n+97) &\equiv 0 \pmod 5, \\ \text{M2spt}\,(125n+122) &\equiv 0 \pmod 5 \end{aligned}$$

by verifying the congruence holds for so many initial terms. However, these congruences are special cases of the much more general congruence,

$$\text{M2spt}\left(\frac{\ell^{2m}n+1}{8}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^m}$$

for prime $\ell \geq 3$, $m \geq 1$, and $\left(\frac{-n}{\ell}\right) \equiv 1$, which is Theorem 1.4 of [1] by Ahlgren, Bringmann, and Lovejoy.

References

[1] S. Ahlgren, K. Bringmann, and J. Lovejoy. ℓ-adic properties of smallest parts functions. *Adv. Math.*, 228(1):629–645, 2011.

[2] G. E. Andrews. The number of smallest parts in the partitions of n. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 624:133–142, 2008.

[3] A. O. L. Atkin and F. G. Garvan. Relations between the ranks and cranks of partitions. *Ramanujan J.*, 7(1-3):343–366, 2003. Rankin memorial issues.

[4] A. Berkovich and F. G. Garvan. Some observations on Dyson's new symmetries of partitions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 100(1):61–93, 2002.

[5] K. Bringmann, J. Lovejoy, and R. Osburn. Rank and crank moments for overpartitions. *J. Number Theory*, 129(7):1758–1772, 2009.

[6] K. Bringmann, J. Lovejoy, and R. Osburn. Automorphic properties of generating functions for generalized rank moments and Durfee symbols. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):238–260, 2010.

[7] F. Garvan and C. Jennings-Shaffer. The spt-crank for overpartitions. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2013.

[8] F. G. Garvan. Congruences for Andrews' smallest parts partition function and new congruences for Dyson's rank. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 6(2):281–309, 2010.

[9] F. G. Garvan. Higher order spt-functions. *Adv. Math.*, 228(1):241–265, 2011.

[10] C. Jennings-Shaffer. Higher order spt functions for overpartitions, overpartitions with smallest part even, and partitions without repeated odd parts. *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2014.

[11] M. Kaneko and D. Zagier. A generalized Jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms. In *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, volume 129 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 165–172. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.

[12] J. Lovejoy and R. Osburn. M_2-rank differences for partitions without repeated odd parts. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 21(2):313–334, 2009.

[13] T. Miyake. *Modular forms*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, english edition, 2006. Translated from the 1976 Japanese original by Yoshitaka Maeda.

[14] K. Ono. *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q-series*, volume 102 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 2004.

Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA

cjenningsshaffer@ufl.edu